



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/495,668	02/01/2000	Sergey A. Selifonov	3271.002US1	5158
22434	7590	09/14/2005	EXAMINER	
BEYER WEAVER & THOMAS LLP			KIM, YOUNG J	
P.O. BOX 70250			ART UNIT	
OAKLAND, CA 94612-0250			PAPER NUMBER	
			1637	
DATE MAILED: 09/14/2005				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO./ CONTROL NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR / PATENT IN REEXAMINATION	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
---------------------------------	-------------	---	---------------------

EXAMINER

ART UNIT	PAPER
----------	-------

09072005

DATE MAILED:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner for Patents

Response to Rule 312 Communication	Application No. 09/495,668	Applicant(s) SELIFONOV ET AL.	
	Examiner Young J. Kim	Art Unit 1637	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

1. ☐ The amendment filed on 09 May 2005 under 37 CFR 1.312 has been considered, and has been:

a) ☐ entered.

b) ☐ entered as directed to matters of form not affecting the scope of the invention.


c) ☐ disapproved because the amendment was filed after the payment of the issue fee.

Any amendment filed after the date the issue fee is paid must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.313(c)(1) and the required fee to withdraw the application from issue.


d) ☒ disapproved. See explanation below.

e) ☐ entered in part. See explanation below.

While Applicants contend that the amendment merely cancels claim 56 for resubmission in a continuing application and that no other amendments or modification is presented, it is clear that other claims have been amended so as to change the scope of the invention previously allowed. For example, claim 1 has been amended from step (v) which determines the sequence identities of the product strings relative to at least one initial character string to "at least one of the product strings," clearly broadening the step previously allowed. In addition, claim amendments other than the cancelation of claim 56, are replete throughout the claims, contrary to Applicants' assertion. Thus, the amendment will not be entered.



**YOUNG J. KIM
PATENT EXAMINER**



**GARY BENZION, PH.D
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600**
9/9/05